

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1850 www.uspto.gov

					→ <i>v</i>	
APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,293		12/18/2001	Masanori Ayabe	350292001100	00 1682	
25227	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRISO	N & FOE	ERSTER LLP		HAAS, WENDY C		
1650 TYSO		EVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300 MCLEAN,		12		1661	THE EN HOMBER	
WICLEAN,	VA 2210	14		DATE MAILED: 07/14/200		
				DATE MAILED. V//14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/018,293	AYABE ET AL.			
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wendy C Haas	1661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	·			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Th	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	:P		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office act	tension ion; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	g the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendr	nent		
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place	the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app		1			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ₋				
10. Other:		1000	_		
		ANDREW WANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	AIMED		
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 16			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amended claims have little, if any, functional difference in meaning from the claims rejected in the final rejection. Applicants use of the term "domy tissue" to describe what is essentially callus does not change the fact that callus culture methods of Allium sativum from leaf base explants are known in the art..